

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/433,202 11/04/99 REITZ Н N19.12-0026 **EXAMINER** IM22/1204 PETER S DARDI PHD MARCHESCHI, M SUITE 1600 INTERNATIONAL CENTRE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-3319 1755 DATE MAILED: 12/04/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/433,202

Applicant(s)

Reitz et al.

Examiner

Michael Marcheschi

Group Art Unit 1755



TH	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) [X] expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, which is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the frejection.	hever inal
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	The of
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	any
A p	oplicant's response to the final rejection, filed on $Nov 21, 2000$ has been considered with the following effects NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	ct,
X	The proposed amendment(s):	
	🗴 will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	will not be entered because:	
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	•
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal.	the
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE:	
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	n a
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in cofor allowance because: all the references teach particles dispersions having a particle size within the claimed range.	ondition
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly rai the Examiner in the final rejection.	sed by
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims objected to:	
	Claims objected to:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Exami	ner.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
	Other	•